


Q1 Are you a member/supporter of Waterfront Watch?

Answered: 182 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	57.69% 105
No	42.31% 77
Total	182

#	Comment:	Date
1	A supporter for many years	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
2	I have been a member for several years. I rely on the group to do its utmost to protect public open space on the waterfront.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	Concerned that the waters in Frank Kitts lagoon will be further destroyed by a council that has a proven record of destroying major marine and inter tidal habitat. The WCC lacks a consultation procedure.	10/10/2016 3:17 PM
4	But I support them totally regarding their opposition of the proposed change of Frank Kitts Park	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
5	I don't know	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
6	Cool that your concerned about the waterfront. But to young people like myself, your seen as conservative old people who are against change and progress when it comes to new buildings on the waterfront.	8/13/2016 4:41 PM
7	I just found out about this after reading an article in the Wellingtonian newspaper.	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
8	Yes	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
9	I don't think so but I have been in the past	7/22/2016 2:07 PM
10	I do like your principles. I would like you to come up with alternative developments that will bring people to the waterfront in all weather conditions	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
11	We lived at Raumati Beach. However, my wife and I consider ourselves to be Wellingtonian's. . We have been frequent visitors to Wellington since we moved here from town and have enjoyed the whole of the waterfront.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
12	I appreciate the work Waterfront Watch does.	7/11/2016 4:05 PM
13	Not a member - yet - but support your work.	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
14	But I did make a submission to the resource consent application	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
15	We need these dedicated people to ensure our city stays user friendly	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
16	A long time supporter.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM

17	We have to stop the council from ruining the park. It's good as it is	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
18	A supporter and have written many submissions over the years.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
19	not a member, but fully support what you have achieved	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
20	I support all that is being done to save our waterfront but I am not a financial member.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM

Q2 Have you seen the plans showing the proposed changes to Frank Kitts Park?

Answered: 176 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	51.14% 90
Only general illustrations	32.95% 58
No	15.91% 28
Total	176

#	Comment:	Date
1	I would like to see these	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
2	I do not support either the proposed Chinese Garden, or the loss of the valued amphitheatre.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	This will become another environmental disaster just like the Waitangi Park water water system built without any inter tidal knowlege	10/10/2016 3:17 PM
4	I dont agree with the report about the wind impact if the concrete walls were demolished. Have experienced the area before the Frank Kitts Park was built .Very windy without the concrete walls.	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
5	The plans look great	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
6	Seems OK to me	8/1/2016 11:27 AM
7	Yes	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
8	It looks so similar to Waitangi Park. I suggest the chinese garden goes in the transitional area next to te papa and frank kitts park is "refreshed", using the existing layout	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
9	I do not support locating a Chiese Garden at Frank Kitts Park.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
10	I wrote opposing the garden when the plan was being 'consulted'.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
11	We read Dave Armstrong's column in today's Dominion Post.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
12	Diagrammatic changes should be sent out with rate demands, email or snail mail.	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
13	It took me three visits to the WCC Service Centre to find them 3 days after the public notice was published. I only got blank looks from staff when I asked!	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
14	IWe need play areas and entertainment areas rather than a static Chinese garden.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM

15	The flat bit replacing the ampitheatre is mind blowing stupid	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
16	I have read and understand what is planned	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
17	Very ambitious and costly - unnecessary at this time	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
18	The plans were not available at time of attempted viewing.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
19	Went to look at the plans but found them not available	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
20	I went to the council for information, and to see the plans of the proposal to alter the park. The service desk knew nothing about any submissions on the park. One woman eventually found a book. I saw the coloured pictures provided by the firm hoping to do the work.	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
21	Not impressed as the Park performs well as host to lots of activities during the year.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
22	I've only read a description of changes	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
23	Terrible	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
24	Please keep our waterfront free for all to enjoy, not buildings	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
25	Where are they?	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
26	not before today on your website	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
27	More development nonsense	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
28	trying to look on WCC website but wont open	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
29	I agree with the veiws of WW	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
30	Have seen the description on the Waterfront Watch website	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

Q3 Do you support the enlargement of the children's playground, with new equipment suitable for children of different ages?

Answered: 166 Skipped: 16




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	78.31% 130
No	21.69% 36
Total	166

#	Comment:	Date
1	A good scheme	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
2	Children should enjoy the waterfront as much as adults.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	As we are not assured that there will be any sun on the area	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
4	I don't support children's playground being closer to the road! New equipment is fine	10/10/2016 7:37 AM
5	There is no 'one size fits all' in safety of equipment	10/9/2016 10:14 PM
6	Yes it is well overdue	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
7	But it needs to be more inviting and less closed off from the waterfront, and doesn't need a swamp along its edges	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
8	current amount is about right	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
9	Adults enjoy that space too	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
10	It could be improved but safeguards near the main road need to be considered.	8/12/2016 2:46 PM
11	Not sure but in favour of better kiddie activity area	8/1/2016 2:29 PM
12	A good idea	8/1/2016 11:27 AM
13	That's great and hopefully will make it more usable for families.	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
14	Yes	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
15	The current size looks adequate enough. Need more grown up areas like funky tables and chairs where people can bring and eat their lunch.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
16	Only if use justifies this.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
17	Adequate items and space currently during NZ Festival Feb-March 2016	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
18	the playground is a very popular family activity	7/6/2016 10:18 AM

19	Our children are precious and need to be cared about	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
20	Where it is as there's no need to shift it	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
21	But not if it encroaches on the lawn	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
22	Provided it doesn't encroach too much on the existing park	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
23	As long as adult parkour and fitness facilities are included, and you can still slack line between trees etc, and there is still room for not cams etc adults need space to play too	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
24	Added facilities useful. More variety in activities provided for children will be good.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
25	Yes, but not to the detriment of with the promenade or the "gathering" space.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
26	Good to have a variety of equipment for different age groups but concerned thatl children's area may be overshadowed by TSB Stadium building	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
27	Not sure	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
28	But only if Council can support the changes with figures supporting the changes	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
29	But not moving the lighthouse/swings from where they are.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
30	But not in the new position proposed	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
31	Only up to about 12 years of age	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
32	No need to enlarge	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
33	within reason!	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
34	Works well as it is	6/7/2016 4:01 PM
35	Keep it only for small ones	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
36	sounds good	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
37	Yes and NO leave light house, yes to toilets and some new equipment	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
38	Provided that the new area will not be of a design that would encourage inappropriate behaviour by older children/teens - skateboarding etc	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
39	OK as it is	5/26/2016 6:58 PM

Q4 Do you support the location of the proposed Chinese garden in Frank Kitts Park?

Answered: 177 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	14.12% 25
No	85.88% 152
Total	177


#	Comment:	Date
1	Keep the area as a playground	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
2	Because it would entail the loss of public open space when locked at night. That means it would be shut for c. 3650 hours a year	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	absolutely No.	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
4	I support a Chinese Garden in Wellington - just not on the waterfront.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
5	It would be good to have a Chinese Garden but not here.	10/2/2016 8:37 PM
6	More gardens and green space in Wellington is awesome	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
7	I fully support having a Chinese Garden, but not on the waterfront - perhaps at the new Chinese Embassy site or in the Botanic Gardens instead. Also, its entrance is on Jervois Quay so it doesn't embrace the waterfront	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
8	a civic amenity that I am looking forward to	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
9	The proposed space is already well used and loved	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
10	Not suitable. The park is fine.	8/17/2016 5:23 PM
11	No way!, Walled? Locked at nights? What is this idea?	8/12/2016 2:46 PM
12	This is a well used public area and should remain so	7/31/2016 12:59 AM
13	Definitely not, keep our wonderful Frank Kitts Park for all of Wellington, why not put the Chinese Garden on the Illot Plot	7/26/2016 4:30 PM
14	Absolutely against it. Ridiculous place to have it.	7/24/2016 1:06 PM
15	I'm of Chinese heritage, but don't think there should be a Chinese garden on the waterfront. It should kept somewhere more relevant like the Botanic Gardens or Chinese Embassy. This is Wellington, if anything, the harbour front should be used to to make it more Wellingtonian, not more "international". No other country has a garden on the harbour side or even a special garden in our CBD? (that I am aware of)	7/24/2016 1:38 AM

16	No	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
17	Not appropriate for this site	7/22/2016 8:05 PM
18	ABSOLUTELY NOT11111111	7/21/2016 12:35 PM
19	Put it in the waitangi park carpark, or remove the street level car park for tepapa which is a waste of land.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
20	Because Frank Kitts Park is centrally placed and near the waterfront it already has substantial use. A new Chinese Garden is an opportunity to upgrade and add interest to another area that is not as well used as Frank Kitts Park.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
21	I do not believe this will do anything to enhance our waterfront.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
22	Will divide unified park and walkways, remove shelter. The garden will be a cliché.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
23	The park is a fine area the way it is and is well utilised and accessible at all hours	7/19/2016 10:48 AM
24	The present open-space and protection from the wind is ideal for pleasant casual use. In the CBD there are few green, open-space areas.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
25	Absolutely not - please leave Frank Kitts Park as it is - it works so well	7/12/2016 5:30 PM
26	I am totally opposed to this meaningless proposed addition to our city. It's all about trade and has no place anywhere near the waterfront.	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
27	Crazy to put it there - and at great cost to Wellington ratepayers	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
28	It would close off an area of open waterfront space	6/23/2016 3:57 PM
29	A Chinese garden belongs somewhere like the Botanical Gardens	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
30	Totally inappropriate location. Strongly support a Chinese Garden in Wellington but there are other options for its location eg the Botanic Garden and the new location for the Chinese Embassy.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM
31	The Botanic Gardens is a more appropriate place	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
32	We need to keep all open space by the waterfront open space. It must not be built on or walled off. If the Chinese garden is a good idea, the Botanic gardens or some other site should be the place. Not on the waterfront.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
33	Not a suitable place. Too noisy	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
34	No absolutely. Surely there's a better place	6/21/2016 2:01 PM
35	A good idea, but not here.	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
36	Better bear Te papa or on illiot green	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
37	Don't like the idea of the garden being locked at night. Will the parking building cast a shadow over the garden?	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
38	While the Chinese who have been here a long time are worthy citizens they were not a group connected to the waterfront at all - neither are the newcomers. We need to keep our heritage proudly.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
39	Totally unsuitable position. It needs to be a place of peace. Concerts etc are held in this area which is a noisy, bustling area needed by Wellington.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
40	What happened for plans for Waitangi Park? Locking the garden at night may restrict waterfront walkers	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
41	I don't think Chinese plants would like to be near the sea	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
42	Why is it appropriate to have a Chinese garden on the waterfront?	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
43	Why does there have to be a Chinese Garden ? What about a NZ native garden , that would be far more appropriate , especially to for the cross section of Nationalalities that visit Wellington . They would have come to see Features etc of NZ not other Countries features.	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
44	I used to, but it's hard to know how the Chinese community feel about it; to be honest they have been messed around for years. I remember when they brought a Master designer of Chinese gardens out from China and we started fund raising that night with a banquet at a restaurant in Tory St. That must be nearly 20 years ago now.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
45	It is not relevant to the maritime location on the waterfront and will be walled off anyway so is not about enhancing the enjoyment of the waterfront and seascapes. I do however like the idea of a Chinese garden somewhere in Wellington	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
46	Absolutely not - FKP should be left as is.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM

47	This is a TERRIBLE IDEA. This is not the right location ro have such a garden. It should be within the botanic gardens. Removal of pohutakawa trees and planting imported plants instead of using local NZ items makes no sense at all. Why should the chinese community have precedence on our waterfront??? Perhaps we should also have an English, Scottish, Irish, Welsh, Italian, ETC ETC garden as well!!!	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
48	It is inappropriate to have an area of the waterfront locked at night. This is public space not private.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
49	WHY?? The park is much more multi-purpose as it is. A Chinese garden will NOT fit this environment and will definitely detract from current versitility	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
50	Completely iinappropriate	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
51	Chinese garden is artificial, too formal and hideous - in what should be an inviting space	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
52	That is, if it is not taking too much precious land from the Park that could be used in better ways.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
53	it seems to break the area in half, could be better placed	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
54	Quite out of place there	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
55	NO NO NO NO NO NO PLEASE DONT DO THIS THIS WILL RUIN THE AREA WE ARE NOT A CHINESE AREA IT IS OUR WATER FRONT FOR ALL NZ PEOPLE	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
56	See no need for it	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
57	Frank Kitts Park should be kept basically as an open area where people can walk around, sit for while, have lunch, etc, be able to hold other events such as openair concerts, the Relay for Life, and so on. The Chinese Garden would greatly restrict these things.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
58	We don't need a walled garden here. Put it on Lambton Quay or in Courtenay PLace or Chinese Embassy	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
59	Place it away from the waterfront to avoid further closed spaces.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

Q5 Would you like the Chinese garden to be built somewhere else?

Answered: 165 Skipped: 17



Answer Choices	Responses
Happy with Frank Kitts Park	14.55% 24
Somewhere else on the Waterfront	7.27% 12
Botanic Gardens	55.15% 91
Planned new Chinese Embassy	57.58% 95
Total Respondents: 165	


#	Other/Comment:	Date
1	Botanic Gardens and Waterfront are for NZ gardens and design. A chinese garden would exclude the area from its flexible use as gathering point; music circle; activities.	10/13/2016 8:31 AM
2	Carefully select an appropriate site in a garden area.	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
3	Please consider placing it on either the Botanic Garden lawn just inside the upper Glenmore Street entrance, or on the sloping lawn beside Salamanca Road	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
4	Yes - somewhere where there is no sea view. Not necessarily in the botanic gardens but somewhere in the inner city that needs rejuvenation and where the development of a quiet beautiful spot would lend itself to the contemplative style of a Chinese gardens.	10/10/2016 12:16 PM
5	either of these places.	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
6	Weather conditions on waterfront will affect/ ruin the gardens	10/10/2016 7:37 AM
7	and paid for as a Chinese gift	10/9/2016 10:14 PM
8	The proposed location in Frank Kitts Park reduces the open space available for public uses, the walls will create a closed environment on what is now a great open space for events, and closing the garden at night reduces access to the public.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM

9	somewhere else not on the waterfront as a Chinese garden is not relevant to the amenity values of a coastal marine environment	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
10	Definitely not the Chinese Embassy. This should be a public amenity.	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
11	Or perhaps the Illot Green	9/23/2016 7:39 PM
12	The Chinese Ggarden is a political project generally aimed at pleasing our partners in the NZ/China and Wellington/China (Xiamen) relationship. It's not something that has arisen from broad public encouragement. I have no objection to a Chinese Garden as such but if it goes ahead on the proposed waterfront site, I will feel less positive about our relationship with China, as it will be a sign of our city pandering to outside pressure. My preferred site would be in the Botanic Gardens.	9/23/2016 2:56 PM
13	From what I've read,even the Chinese community in Wellington would prefer the garden built closer to Te Papa than on Frank Kitts	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
14	Illot Green, sesqui hill, as a roof for the westpac stadium, part of the redeveloped Shelley bay or Mt Crawford	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
15	Jack Illott Green	8/21/2016 6:23 PM
16	Why not on the old Circa site next to Civic Square	8/1/2016 2:29 PM
17	The Dell, behind the Begonia house in Lady Norwood rose garden	8/1/2016 11:29 AM
18	Or Illott Park	7/26/2016 4:30 PM
19	If it is needing to be locked up at night, it should be some place that is NOT used at night. Also, it should be put somewhere more relevant. People who want to appreciate different garden types can appreciate the Chinese type of gardens within the Botanic Gardens. Or, how about putting it further away by the Te Papa side, either at the back of Te Papa or near Waitangi Park. It makes more sense there.	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
20	At the planned new Chinese Embassy	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
21	More space less conjection	7/22/2016 8:05 PM
22	I'm happy with it being anywhere else that doesn't alter an already working space	7/22/2016 5:33 PM
23	Waitangi park	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
24	Yes, the Japanese cherry tree garden opposite Queen Margaret College adds value to that park. This is an opportunity to do likewise in another area that is not already well used.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
25	A good location would be in the old Chinese area at corner Frederick and Taranaki Streets, a good large vacant lot there now and also an opportunity to protect the Chinese Mission Hall.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
26	Anywhere that is not on the waterfront.	7/18/2016 6:00 PM
27	I have no idea whether they could accommodate a Chinese garden in their proposed relocation. If it was possible it certainly would be appropriate.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
28	Shelter required for a garden on waterfront. Definitely not at the Chinese Embassy.	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
29	There is a large empty area off Salamanca Rd which I believe would be a perfect place for a Chinese garden and a draw card for tourists coming up the cable car	7/12/2016 5:30 PM
30	It is a most inappropriate site for a Chinese Garden.	7/11/2016 4:05 PM
31	Keep it away from the waterfront - and the Botanic Gardens. Fine on Chinese territory - that's a great solution! It would be in a relevant space and in keeping with surrounding architecture.	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
32	I just don't see why we have to have a Chinese garden? What next: a Spanish one, a German one, a Peruvian one and where are we going to put them all? It's stupid and will cost ratepayers to maintain.	7/6/2016 2:24 PM
33	At the Embassy - an excellent suggestion	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
34	Put it on llott Green	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
35	Either or of the above options but it has no place on the waterfront.	6/23/2016 3:57 PM
36	Botanic Gardens would be my preference or the Chinese Embassy although that could create security problems	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
37	See above.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM
38	As above	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
39	Don't wall in Frank Kitts!	6/22/2016 1:54 PM

40	As above not in current limited open space on the waterfront.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
41	A Chinese garden is for peace, contemplation, rest and relaxation. Not alongside a 6 lane motorway type road, and a big multi-type entertainment area like the popular Frank Kitts Park now is.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
42	Build the Chinese garden in a natural, peaceful landscape. There, tranquility and serenity will be easily found. To locate it within the walls of a 'fort', covering from the prevailing salt laden wind will make for a draughty, cold and struggling space.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
43	Build the Chinese garden in a natural, peaceful landscape. There, tranquility and serenity will be easily found. To locate it within the walls of a 'fort', covering from the prevailing salt laden wind will make for a draughty, cold and struggling space.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
44	At the Embassy provided public access will be available	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
45	Some Chinese probably don't want a connection with the Embassy, so some other place may be better but I don't know here.. Certainly not near Frank Kitts Park.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
46	Possible, but will Embassy permit general public to visit? Will there be a charge?	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
47	Ask the Chinese Association where they would like to be, then decide whether it is possible.	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
48	Only at the Embassy - if we have to have it	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
49	See my previous comment . Rate Payers should'nt have to fund a Chinese Garden ---- A NZ Native Garden yes	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
50	It was planned a good few years ago to build one between Te Papa and Waitangi Park - I don't know why that didn't go ahead. The waterfront is pretty good as it is with room for all the current activities and pedestrians. It is hard to suggest a place for the Chinese garden as we don't how much land is required.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
51	For the same reasons as in 4. it should not be on the waterfront.	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
52	As long as there is still plenty of green open space for other activities in Frank Kitts Park I don't mind having a Chinese Garden as well.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
53	Not on waterfront - new Chinese Embassy or Jack Illot's Green sound ok.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
54	The waterfront is the last place there should be one.	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
55	It is inappropriate to have this garden on the waterfront.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
56	Anywhere except the waterfront	6/7/2016 4:18 PM
57	... or Jack Illott Green	6/7/2016 4:16 PM
58	Anywhere but Frank Kitts Park	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
59	I don't think it is necessary.	6/7/2016 3:52 PM
60	The site by Te Papa would be better, but one further from the harbour better still, eg the site of old Broadcasting House at end of The Terrace	6/7/2016 3:46 PM
61	Not appropriate in such public space as FKP	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
62	I liked the design illustrations of this garden, but perhaps it would be well suited near the Chinese embassy.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
63	Shanghai	6/3/2016 7:44 PM
64	Is it really relevant to Wellington / the waterfront? How about a Māori themed area?	6/2/2016 5:38 PM
65	Number one preference would be associated with new Chinese Embassy , a logical connection.	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
66	BUT DEPENDS WHERE THE EMBASSY IS BUT NOT ANYWHERE NEAR OUR WATERFRONT OTHERWISE NO NO NO NO	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
67	If a Chinese garden is to be built then why not situate it in an area where the early settler Chinese people settled in Wellington i.e. link the reason for the garden as part of Wellington history.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
68	Why not use the Jack Illott Green	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
69	Anywhere suitable but definitely not on Frank Kitts Park.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
70	We need open space	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
71	Much more appropriate site.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

Q6 Do you support the removal of the amphitheatre and its replacement by a flat lawn?

Answered: 172 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	15.12% 26
No	84.88% 146
Total	172


#	Comment:	Date
1	Not completely flat; taking focus to harbour and not road would be good	10/13/2016 8:31 AM
2	it's a great area to chill	10/13/2016 2:47 AM
3	The aphitheatre is valued by many people in the community. It is used for performances, and for simply sitting.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
4	I remember the park when the Amphitheatre was not there, windy	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
5	The amphitheatre is used by so many Wellingtonians, and is a protection from the wind	10/10/2016 7:37 AM
6	Would be uninhabitable in a strong northerly (common)	10/9/2016 8:59 PM
7	No why replace something that has proven to be a popular event and picnic area which is getting used more each summer, it makes no sense.	10/9/2016 8:51 PM
8	I've been to several events every year at the amphitheatre and the proposed changes will eliminate space needed for concerts, games, fairs, relays and other fantastic events at the park. I see not gain to the proposal.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
9	The park works very well as it is. I oppose its redevelopment apart from extending the children,s playground. The rest seems like change for changes sake and would be a scandalous waste of ratepayers money.	10/2/2016 8:37 PM
10	The amphitheatre is a concrete monstrosity and a blight on the waterfront	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
11	Especially as there is no seating on the harbour side of the lawn - it is all located next to the pollution-laden Jervois Quay	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
12	current arrangement blocks the harbour view and it's too wet to sit there most of the time	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
13	The amphitheatre is a great and SHELTERED place for entertainment and activities. Plus if it is levelled, what will happen to the Underground Markets - a huge tourist attraction on Saturdays	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
14	That sheltered amphitheater is well designed and not easily substituable	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
15	A plain lawn would not be adequate for events.	8/12/2016 2:46 PM

16	The amphitheatre provides such better wind shelter and makes the place more usable and sheltered from the (frequent) Wellington winds. Only having trees protecting the proposed lawn area from northerlies isn't sufficient. At the moment, I can sit with my family and friends in one of the curved parts on the outside of the amphitheatre and still be sheltered from the wind while looking at the harbour directly. You won't get that with a flat lawn - it's Wellington!	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
17	No	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
18	Why would you want to change aomething that already works	7/22/2016 8:05 PM
19	The amphitheatre is pretty ugly but can be modernized. It provides shelter and seating, which the new plan seems to lack.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
20	The cost does not seem to be justified. As long as Jervois Quay remains a major thoroughfare in its present position there could be viewshaft gains from reopening the area from the city to the sea but the road will remain a barrier to greater use of the park.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
21	this would be a real loss.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
22	Absolutely not! This is the only place where it is possible to sit down and enjoy the ambience of what the waterfront can provide.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
23	Replacing it with a flat lawn would limit usage	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
24	Definitely not, it works so well as an amphitheatre	7/12/2016 5:30 PM
25	There is so little flat lawn now in the Wellington CBD	7/11/2016 4:05 PM
26	there can still be a flat lawn and the amphitheatre	7/10/2016 6:05 PM
27	Frank Kitts Park is fine as it is. We use it quite a lot.	7/6/2016 2:24 PM
28	The amphitheatre serves two purposes - as a site for adhoc performances and as a sheltered possie to lunch and to rest at.	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
29	Need an area that is sheltered from the prevailing northerly	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
30	The amphitheatre is so comfortable even if picnicking and a lovely spot for entertainment	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
31	As above; totally ridiculous.	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
32	Leave well alone. Why waste ratepayers money?	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
33	It is a most useful place with a natural amphitheatre	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
34	Wind blast	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
35	I love the amphitheatre. Its curved tiers create a great unique atmosphere and space. There is plenty of flat open space at Waitangi Park for larger civic events.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
36	I love the amphitheatre. Its curved tiers create a great unique atmosphere and space. There is plenty of flat open space at Waitangi Park for larger civic events.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
37	Ampitheatre good for events	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
38	Amphitheatre is used a lot for concerts adn meetings. It's flexible and sheltered. More appealing than a large flat lawn.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
39	Leave it alone. It works most of the time, providing shelter from many winds.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
40	This is a sheltered area. It needs to be retained for the many events that are held here.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
41	Ampitheatre provides seatiing for concerts, meetings, fun runs for charity. Gives shelter from the wind. Large lawn would be a bit boring. What seating??	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
42	Why is it necessary to spend this extra money?	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
43	A flat lawns will be exposed and boring	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
44	I think the park as it is at present fills a purpose and is pleasant and well used.	6/13/2016 11:08 AM
45	Amphitheatre offers more ambience and atmosphere that a Flat area .	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
46	Because it will spoil the park for the current activities that are held there.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
47	The amphitheatre is ideal for events and picnics and protects visitors from the prevaiiling wind	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
48	Will make this a much more useable and lovely space - I hardly ever see anyone on a nice day hangin in the current amphitheatre because you can't see the wonderful sea views from in there.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM

49	It has a public use as amphitheater	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
50	Not at all - currently it's multipurpose & provides wind shelter.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
51	Absolutely not.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
52	The amphitheatre provides shelter and seating. As a flat lawn it won't be as usable as a lunch time seating area after a rainy morning.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
53	The upper levels of the amphitheatre and Battlements provided vantage ;points for events like the Dragon Boat races and of course the teams warming up in the amphitheatres and top green, similarly relay for life and many other events.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
54	It's a useful sitting/ lunch area	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
55	Need wind-blocks on this site. Multilevel is more functional for that and other reasons.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
56	It serves a useful purpose	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
57	This would be a good idea only if it is found that the amphitheatre is not utilised enough as it stands at present?	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
58	the lawn looks better than the current concrete wall	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
59	Shelter from amphitheatre would be lost,crazy idea	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
60	like it the way it is being flat would change it and the atmosphere you get from it	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
61	The amphitheatre area provides a haven from our Wellington winds and is an ideal area for a wide range of activities.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
62	This is so useful as it is. Often used. Shelterd.	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
63	Amphitheatre works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
64	Keep it for special openair events.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
65	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
66	Yes and no ! I like the idea of a large events area but also like the amphitheatre (although have seldom seen it used as an amphitheatre). Perhaps Aotea Quay can be encroached upon ?!	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

Q7 Do you support opening the park to harbour views, even if shelter from the wind is lost?

Answered: 173 Skipped: 9



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	26.01% 45
No	73.99% 128
Total	173


#	Comment:	Date
1	Shelter from wind is important	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
2	There are harbour views from the upper parts of the park, and from the playground.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	I believe that good harbour views already available from the walkway along the edge of the waterfront.	10/10/2016 3:17 PM
4	if you want to generate more traffic accidents open this major city through route to a sea view	10/10/2016 12:16 PM
5	This may open up a small amount of view to the park , however it will now make the road visible from the waterfront .	10/9/2016 9:04 PM
6	No - I think the park works just fine now. Beyond improvements to the kid's park it is a waste of money that should be spent on needed items like the Town Hall.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
7	The park is fine as it is.	10/2/2016 8:37 PM
8	There is no shelter from the wind now	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
9	The harbour views can be had from the promenade, or from the harbour edge of the amphitheatre and rest of the wall	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
10	The loss of shelter from the wind is overstated	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
11	It was designed for protection from the wind. It is also much more interesting as it is than just another boring patch of flat ground	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
12	These is an adequate promenade on the harbour side	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
13	There needs to be a somewhat option here. Because there is not, I have to say yes.	8/13/2016 4:41 PM
14	we can see the harbour quite clearly already.	8/12/2016 2:46 PM
15	Could other shelter options be explored?	8/1/2016 11:27 AM
16	If people want views, they can walk closer to the harbour side. Families and others living in Wellington want a usable, practical space.	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
17	No	7/23/2016 10:55 AM

18	Wellington wind can't be ignored	7/22/2016 8:05 PM
19	There are plenty of good spots to admire the view, and shelter is necessary on most days	7/22/2016 5:33 PM
20	Not sure	7/22/2016 2:07 PM
21	As above.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
22	Some shelter can be achieved through planting	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
23	we have to accept wind is a problem in our city.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
24	There are plenty of viewpoints. The removal of the amphitheatre will just lead to hideous tent cities put up by concert and other promoters.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
25	There are other places along the waterfront that provide vistas of the harbour. Wind!This is Wellington. Some protection from the wind is necessary and is a necessary compromise.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
26	Currently differing acceptable harbour views.	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
27	No, we need the shelter	7/12/2016 5:30 PM
28	Not altogether sure about this one though ...	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
29	There are the promenade and the upper lawn for harbour views. The new trees on the road side will block harbour views from the roadside	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
30	The current views are fine. The sheltered area is still part of the waterfront ambience. People only have to stand up or sit at the top to enjoy the views.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
31	Wellington wind can be fierce, the area would get more use if sheltered.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
32	Cannot understand why change something good for something awful	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
33	The harbour is always worth looking at. the wind is a natural event.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
34	Shelter is very important and there are plenty of views of the harbour from the walkway	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
35	If you want views you can step up and enjoy them	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
36	People walk along the promenade and take in the harbour views. Open up the park to the wind off the harbour, and the large flat lawn will be a waste of space.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
37	People walk along the promenade and take in the harbour views. Open up the park to the wind off the harbour, and the large flat lawn will be a waste of space.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
38	Unnecessary	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
39	It is important to keep this park sheltered from the prevailing northerly.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
40	Current different levels and shady trees create interest and shelter from the wind	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
41	The park provides a small area on the waterfront that is a welcome respite from walking along the promenade with extensive harbour views	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
42	It is easy for people to see the harbour by moving only a short distance	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
43	but only if the amphitheatre remains	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
44	No one barely uses the amphitheatre as it currently is on a nice and breezy day - because you lose the wonderful views. I think opening it up will make it a much more used space. I can imagine markets here, outdoor cinema on summer nights, concerts. Think it would be great!	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
45	Wind shelter is needed - this is Wellington	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
46	No-The wind is one of the biggest problems on the waterfront	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
47	It is far too exposed if opened up. the area is used so much in the summer months the way it is.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
48	The amphitheatre is well used by lunch time visits. keep fit classes and if you want a view of the harbour the play area provides that.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
49	Prioritise wind shelter please. There is already plenty of visual access to the water.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
50	We need a sheltered area in Wellington	6/7/2016 4:05 PM

51	You can walk along the promenade to enjoy them!	6/7/2016 4:01 PM
52	Yes - winds are part of Wellington	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
53	Perhaps more trees could be planted to help provide shelter against the winds.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
54	What illogical nonsense	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
55	NO this idea it stupid the person who thought of this has never used the park and doesnt realise that if you took the wall away you wouldnt see peoele out there on windy days casue there is no shelter. dumb idea cause once its gone thats it	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
56	For harbour views there are ample opportunities to enjoy by strolling the entire waterfront.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
57	crazy idea	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
58	windbreak works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
59	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
60	This could create greater open space but only if it would leave more not less space.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

Q8 If the promenade wall is to be removed, do you support the bronze plaques being relocated to plinths in the coastal garden facing the inner path?

Answered: 146 Skipped: 36



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	58.90% 86
No	41.10% 60
Total	146


#	Comment:	Date
1	I think that is a reasonable idea, if the wall has to go.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
2	Not applicable.	10/10/2016 11:39 AM
3	Do not remove the promenade wall	10/9/2016 10:26 PM
4	Leave the wall!	10/9/2016 8:59 PM
5	Better than being stored out of view.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
6	See comment above.See above.	10/2/2016 8:37 PM
7	The plaques are an important reference to Wellington's maritime history and would lose their meaning stuck of over-designed plinths along Jervois Quay - no-one would look at them	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
8	I would like to think about this more	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
9	50/50 on this. As long as they are not discarded entirely	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
10	against the removal of the promenade	8/24/2016 12:33 PM
11	A poor substitute though	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
12	They deserve a place somewhere.	8/12/2016 2:46 PM
13	Unsure at this stage	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
14	No	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
15	Ambivalent	7/22/2016 5:33 PM
16	Sure, why not.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
17	The plaques are interesting to both locals and visitors and need to be able to be easily seen.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM

18	But with reservations. Just wouldn't want them lost.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
19	The most important monumental plaques are the US marines memorial and the Polish children ones which are beautifully framed and located at the steps. They deserve a more prominent location than the others which are pretty poor quality.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
20	Dont support wall being removed.	7/19/2016 10:48 AM
21	I don't support the promenade wall being removed but I have no opinion on this particular question. I am equivocal.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
22	Need to be views and seats where they are to enable contemplation	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
23	I do not want the wall to be removed	7/11/2016 4:05 PM
24	The plaques are important history of he area.	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
25	These are special to the history of the site and the city, they must not be lost from easy view	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
26	no idea where inner path is	6/28/2016 12:56 PM
27	I don't support the promande wall being removed.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
28	why not?	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
29	No comment	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
30	No preference	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
31	Only If the wall has to be removed	6/21/2016 2:04 PM
32	Leave the wall	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
33	The promenade wall should not be removed. The plaques should be left where they are. They should be fully refurbished - they have not been regularly maintained by the Council.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
34	They are perfect where they are	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
35	They are perfect where they are	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
36	Don't approve of wall being removed adn therefore don't support bronze plaques being relocated.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
37	Leave the promenade wall alone. It reminds us of wharves adn ships and our history as a port town. Of course we must keep the plaques.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
38	Reluctantly - prefer wall as it is to stay	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
39	I don't approve of the demolition of the promenade wall - leave the plaques where they are.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
40	As long as they are in an equally prominent place	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
41	I cannot picture what this means	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
42	If the wall is not removed then this is not an issue.	6/13/2016 11:05 AM
43	If the wall is not removed then this is not an issue.	6/13/2016 11:04 AM
44	The promenade wall should stay because it helps separate the traffic noise etc from the promenade.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
45	I'm not sure about some overengineered plinths. But the plaques should stay somewhere prominent on the waterfront as they greatly contribute to our city's maritime history, as does the Wahine flagpole	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
46	not sure where else would be better	6/10/2016 9:47 AM
47	They should be left where they are	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
48	No - and don't take the wall down either.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
49	Given this redevelopment is a given, why can't the plaques be hung on the exterior walls of the Chinese garden. Ready made walls with no need for further expense and still very visible to all at all times of the day and night.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
50	Do not want to lose the Promenade wall with the Plinths as another popular place to sit when the wind is n ot off the harbour...	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
51	Leave them where they are - on the wall - must suitable place	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
52	Leave the plaques where they are	6/7/2016 4:18 PM
53	I am not sure exactly what this entails?	6/5/2016 8:15 PM

54	byt please dont take the wall down	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
55	However I trust that the promenade wall will not be removed.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
56	Not sure	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
57	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
58	No opinion	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

Q9 Do you support the installation of new steps connecting the refurbished upper lawn to the lagoon?

Answered: 145 Skipped: 37



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	57.93% 84
No	42.07% 61
Total	145

#	Comment:	Date
1	not sure here	10/13/2016 8:31 AM
2	This would make a traverse of the park even more interesting.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
3	no comment	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
4	I don't support this change at all.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
5	Keep the existing steps in the south-east corner. The proposed new steps are an extravagance.	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
6	As long as the upper lawn stays as it is, otherwise what's the point putting the steps in?	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
7	Good connections are essential for good use	8/22/2016 11:15 AM
8	Unsure at this stage	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
9	No	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
10	Ambivalent	7/22/2016 5:33 PM
11	This presupposes a refurbished upper lawn is required.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
12	Not sure on this one.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
13	An excellent opportunity to further connect the lagoon and views of it.	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
14	The lagoon is currently accessible to those prepared to walk the small distance to it. What is the need for the change?	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
15	New steps to the upper lawn on the Southern side would be great	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
16	Why steps? What about easy access for the disabled and those with prams/buggies?	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
17	I like the current area	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
18	There is always room for improvement.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM

19	No to the refurbished lawn	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
20	Depends on retention of the businesses under the lawn	6/22/2016 1:22 PM
21	Not sure about his. Can this be achieved within existing layout?	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
22	What for	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
23	Not steps - a reasonably graded pathway linking a series of terraces to act as grandstand seating t look down onto the lagoon area. The pathways instead of steps to enable wheelchair, pram and elderly disability to access the area.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
24	Need a ramp too	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
25	Not necessary	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
26	Any changes are likely to be in the 'Athfield' style of industrial ugliness. This would not match the existing style and add to the mishmash already prevalent.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
27	Not sure what the advantage these would have. Lagoon is reached quite easily now.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
28	What's wrong with what's there now	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
29	The current steps seem to be adequate to me. What about the affect on the small businesses that have been set up in that area/	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
30	the current 2 sets of steps seem perfectly adequate and also provide pram/wheelchair access which is important	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
31	I think access around the whole park should take into consideration access for disabled or less-abled people. So ramps where possible would be great too.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
32	Not sure-but wouldn't like any more open space to be taken away.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
33	Prefer a path - more accessible by wheel chairs and pushchairs.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
34	Haven't seen architectural drawing of this	6/7/2016 3:46 PM
35	The steps that are currently in place are appropriate access.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
36	Not sure	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
37	Uncertain	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
38	Current steps perfectly adequate	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
39	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
40	Am happy with the path+steps at present	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
41	This would take away more open space.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

Q10 Thank you. Any general comments?

Answered: 100 Skipped: 82

#	Responses	Date
1	thankyou forthe opportunity to comment	10/13/2016 8:31 AM
2	Publish the plans and details of the proposed Chinese garden	10/12/2016 1:10 PM
3	Best wishes to Waterfront Watch in its efforts to protect public open space on our waterfront.	10/10/2016 9:11 PM
4	the council lacks the ability to consult	10/10/2016 3:17 PM
5	Please back down on this agreement. A lot of Wellington People are unaware that this lovely park is going to be lost and a native amount of money is being spent to accommodate a chinese garden	10/10/2016 7:46 AM
6	Please keep Frank Kitts! These changes are not supported by the community	10/10/2016 7:37 AM
7	leave Frank Kitts park as it is.	10/9/2016 10:26 PM
8	Leave Kitts Park alone now that it is 'fixed' and popular	10/9/2016 10:14 PM
9	Ratepayer money should not be used to reface an amenity.	10/9/2016 8:59 PM
10	Frank kitts park is in desperate need of some maintenance , however any structural changes would be overkill and unnecessary.	10/9/2016 8:51 PM
11	Frank Kitts Park needs sprucing up, and more care given to maintaining it well but it is a fantastic place now.	10/4/2016 12:59 PM
12	Please leave it as it is. There are better uses for ratepayers money.	10/2/2016 8:37 PM
13	Don't want money wasted on changing Frank Kitts Park for the worse. Find a sheltered spot in Botanic Gardens for the Chinese garden.	9/26/2016 6:53 PM
14	It's fine as it is. Change it & it'll only be for changes sake & not for a real reason	9/25/2016 11:41 AM
15	Stop fighting development on the waterfront just for the sake of it	9/24/2016 10:18 PM
16	There is nothing wrong with Frank Kitts Park. The money required to make the proposed changes could be better spent elsewhere. The proper place for the Chinese Garden is near the Chinese Embassy.	9/24/2016 5:23 PM
17	There are more important priorities for council spending - eg. earthquake-strengthening the Town Hall. The proposal is over-designed, reorients focus and seating towards the CBD, and worse, the park is to become a clean-up space for the car-pollution from Jervois Quay via the swamp around the park. This is revolting.	9/24/2016 11:51 AM
18	no	9/23/2016 10:52 PM
19	To block it off at night is a dumb idea	9/23/2016 7:39 PM
20	Its fine as it is. Stop fiddling with the park and lagoon and use the money where it can do some good	9/10/2016 4:01 PM
21	Just get on and build it the area is tired old and grubby now expanding the green space and garden areas on the waterfront is what everyone wants right!?	9/8/2016 1:40 PM
22	To the Council - listen to your ratepayers, not the interests of foreign companies. You may have promised our sister cities a Chinese Garden, all well and good, but it doesn't have to be at the expense of Frank Kitts which is not only beautiful and functional, but also WORKS as it is! There are plenty of other spaces for the Chinese Garden to go, inc the local Chinese community's preference of next to Te Papa.	8/28/2016 10:05 AM
23	There is no need to change a very comfortable waterfront area, in particular to diminish areas for relaxing in order to accommodate a Chinese garden. There are many options for this garden in Wellington.	8/21/2016 5:29 PM
24	Progress can also add beauty.	8/13/2016 4:41 PM
25	When will the Council let the Waterfront alone? How many times must Wellingtonians say that they like an open waterfront WITHOUT buildings.	8/12/2016 2:46 PM
26	Open spaces on the waterfront are important for Wellington	7/31/2016 12:59 AM
27	Please just leave our wonderful Frank Kitts park alone, it is the envy of many cities	7/26/2016 4:30 PM

28	Only that a Chinese garden needs to be in a peaceful place, its just not the right position where the Council wants to have it.	7/24/2016 1:06 PM
29	If the amphitheatre is taken away, there really needs to be more trees and wind shelters created in that middle area, and also laid out in a thoughtful, careful design (e.g. staggered rows on the harbour side, with seating, not just one row of trees).	7/24/2016 1:38 AM
30	Keep up the good work Waterfront watch	7/23/2016 10:55 AM
31	We need to preserve this much used Wellington asset	7/22/2016 8:05 PM
32	Do Not Allow A Chinese Garden to Ruin This Treasure!	7/21/2016 12:35 PM
33	The current design does work, it just looks outdated. Megan Wraights landscaping always looks the same!! If the park is being overhauled, it should look significantly different to waitangi park. Something cutting edge with the "wow" factor, not just another community park design.	7/21/2016 1:27 AM
34	A Chinese Garden could be good for Wellington. There is no "Chinese" link with Frank Kitts Park and optional locations need to be suggested.	7/20/2016 11:07 PM
35	Lets not mess with a great area.	7/19/2016 3:01 PM
36	It is important to get the WCC to maintain and repair the park, it looks neglected and part of an unstated scheme to run the place down and make it too expensive to repair properly, such as the barricaded south-east corner 'turret'. .	7/19/2016 1:45 PM
37	Frank Kitts park is currntely used in a variety of ways by many groups in community. Chinese Garden will prevent this and should definitely be built somewhere else or are we going to get separate gardens for all ethnicities?	7/19/2016 10:48 AM
38	Wellington waterfront is beautiful as is, a smaller garden would be better suited, but maintenance in our extreme weather will be an ongoing factor on the waterfront	7/18/2016 11:55 PM
39	I am very pleased that Waterfront Watch has continued to maintain a democratic approach to matters. The Council and the bureaucracy has not done that and has gone ahead to interfere with the public right to be consulted and not pre-empted.	7/18/2016 12:19 PM
40	Leave it as it is.	7/13/2016 8:43 AM
41	Why downgrade the park and spend \$ unnecessarily. Put any additional money to the redevelopment of the Town Hall. The development of a Chinese Garden is long overdue!	7/12/2016 6:32 PM
42	Please keep Frank Kitts park as it is with only minor improvements to the children's play area	7/12/2016 5:30 PM
43	A most unsuitable site for a Chinese Garden.	7/11/2016 4:05 PM
44	Leave the whole area as it is, except for upgrading the children's play area.	7/10/2016 6:05 PM
45	Thanks for all your efforts. They're much appreciated.	7/10/2016 12:21 PM
46	I overlook Frank Kitts park from work and see it is used a lot, including the amphitheater and have no idea why the council thinks it's underused or that people support opening it out to the sea as the shelter is very welcome to the many kids (and adults) that use it for play and lunch.	7/6/2016 3:16 PM
47	This is a huge waste of money and I'm angry about it.	7/6/2016 2:24 PM
48	There appear to be steps and gravel paths throughout - making access very difficult for the disabled and those with prams/buggies	7/6/2016 10:18 AM
49	As it is, Frank Kitts is ugly and unpleasant. The walls along the promenade disconnect the park from the harbour. As a result, it feels more related to Jervis Quay than the waterfront. The Chinese Garden looks like it would be create a much more pleasant environment.	6/28/2016 10:08 PM
50	Keep the amphitheater in Frank Kitts Park, it is a good place for Wellington's civic events our "speakers' corner"	6/28/2016 12:56 PM
51	There is no need to do such a large makeover, maybe a refresh on equipment lighting, speakers for music.	6/28/2016 12:41 PM
52	The current waterfront area is fine. It works well and is well used as is. Leave it alone.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
53	Save the money for something that needs doing. Don't waste it on something that isn't broke!	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
54	How often do we have to keep re-telling the Council not to build on the waterfront. It seems that over 80 per cent of people over the years do not want any more building. What do they not understand about no being no?	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
55	I am grateful for the work of the WW committee. I support all efforts to keep our harbour views open - they are too spectacular to lose. I am too ill-informed to comment on the other matters. I rely on your efforts about the placement of a Chinese garden - in consultation with their Embassy - to be fittingly realised.	6/21/2016 2:14 PM

56	I think that any changes would be an appalling waste of money	6/21/2016 2:04 PM
57	WW needs to keep up the good work. It appears that we need to be ever vigilant, although the motives are not as bad as with many past projects - more misguided?	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
58	Yes, Frank Kitts Park does look tired after years of neglect. The original design was creative and still works. Yes, tidy it up. Spend some money, but ditching it for total redesign is an unnecessary vanity. I personally find the Wraight redesign bland and disjointed. The straight lines of trees and paths force a regimental geometry and discipline which more like a roadway than a place I would go for a relaxing stroll.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
59	Yes, Frank Kitts Park does look tired after years of neglect. The original design was creative and still works. Yes, tidy it up, and invest some money in minor improvements and repairs. The Wraight Landscape redesign is bland and ordinary. The three separate spaces(playground, lawn and walled garden) that fail to relate to each other or the surrounding environment.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
60	Given its proximity to the cbd this park should facilities recreation and fitness activities for adults and children a Chinese garden could go somewhere else like illiot green	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
61	Frank Kitts park is fine as it is. Not necessary to spend \$5 million on 're-modelling' it.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
62	Leave things alone! Spend the money on more needed causes as Frank Kitts would have wanted.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
63	This reconstruction plan seems to be an extremely expensive exercise. Demolishing the promenade wall removing the plaques and relocating them will cost a huge amount of money. Leave things as they are! There are many worthy causes that would benefit from such a large amount of money.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
64	Generally I am not in favour of changes just to keep staff employed however it is disguised.	6/13/2016 11:46 AM
65	The Wellington Water- Front must be retained for Residents of Wellington . They must be considered first .	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
66	Am sorry if I seem to be negative and unwilling to accept change, but I think it all works pretty well now and where is the money going to come from anyway?	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
67	I look forward to hearing others' views. I'd prefer the pohutukawa to stay where they are as they provide shade in various places. I'd like to know what maritime plants are proposed for along the promenade - hopefully not yet more waving tussock	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
68	I support for this plan. Think it will make it far more accessible and opening it up to the waterfront will make the amphitheatre a far more desirable space. There should be plenty of nice seating for people to relax with a good book - to replace seating against current concrete walls.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
69	No need to 'develop' an area which works well	6/10/2016 9:47 AM
70	Council need to stop wasting money and keep waterfront open to the public and not impinged on.	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
71	The waterfront works well as it is except that Council keeps trying to build on it! Thank you for your great work WW. Please keep it up!	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
72	What else can be done to get rid of the chinese garden?	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
73	Keep up the good work	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
74	I can't help thinking that the council is hell bent on pushing this through. Expanding the playarea would be a great gain. but leave the rest alone.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
75	On page 39 of the 2014-2043 Wellington Urban Growth Draft Plan COMPLETE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WATERFRONT - WE CONSIDER FRANKS KITTS PARK SHOULD BE THE PREMIER RECREATION AREA FOR THE CITY PARTICULARLY FOR CHILDREN.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
76	Chinese Garden is a misconceived idea on this site. I would hate to see the character and versatility of FKP lost for that.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
77	Please don't waste any more money. by the City Council	6/7/2016 4:19 PM
78	Keep up the good work !!	6/7/2016 4:15 PM
79	The park is facing the right way now!! Sheltered from the wind. Don't let the Council make the same mistake by forcing this idea of theirs through. Look at Island Bay and the balls-up there. And save on the unnecessary cost to ratepayers.	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
80	I don't want to see any changes to a classic, well-used park, Frank Kitts, with its Ngaio trees and lawns. It doesn't need filling up with a foreign garden. The Chinese garden in Dunedin is hardly ever visited.	6/7/2016 4:08 PM
81	The amphitheatre is unique to Wellington and serves a useful purpose. I do not find Chinese gardens to my taste, preferring the informal and welcoming area the existing amphitheatre provides at the edge of our harbour	6/7/2016 4:05 PM

82	Just, Thank you	6/7/2016 3:59 PM
83	I don't know the waterfront well enough to comment on many of the questions, so I give my proxy vote to Waterfront Watch	6/7/2016 3:57 PM
84	I love our harbour for all to enjoy and walk around	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
85	Now that we are living in Waikanae after 60-70 years in Wellington we appreciate all the more the work you do to protect the waterfront from high rise buildings and hotels.	6/7/2016 3:51 PM
86	Please leave Frank Kitts Park as it is. Spend the \$5 million on upgrading the Town Hall and don't sell the Jack Illott park.	6/7/2016 3:48 PM
87	We become frustrated when plans/ideas such as above are discussed and given prominence when some problems with basic infrastructure near our place are not repaired promptly.	6/7/2016 3:46 PM
88	I favour open space, for children to run about, adults to saunter and picnic, and deplore a formal Chinese garden - to be looked at - not touched or enjoyed. "Space and light and order. These are the things that men need just as much as they need bread or a place to sleep" Le Corbusier.	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
89	Please note that I have only seen the illustrations of the design of the proposed Chinese garden at Francis Kitts park and that was some time ago. I thought it looked quite attractive and would enhance this rather bare area? Please note that I am answering a number of these questions on just imagination of the proposals that you are querying.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
90	The council needs to pay back debt and live within their means.	6/5/2016 9:56 AM
91	Looks a bit tired now and cuts off the harbour from the park with awful concrete battlements	6/4/2016 1:01 PM
92	Concerned about loss of shelter, as the park has many nooks to shelter in at the moment. Can we drop a few lanes of traffic along the quay?!	6/2/2016 5:38 PM
93	Thank goodness for Waterfront Watch Inc	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
94	I understand that Frank Kitts is a little tired but it's a great place the way it is people just need to leave things alone, just because they want to put their stamp on something whether it's a good or bad idea once you change it that's it. I have family coming from overseas all the time and they love this area they will ruin it if they do their stupid changes	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
95	Where are pohutukawas going to be replanted?	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
96	As a member of the committee many years ago I applaud the continuing work of the WW committee to keep the waterfront clear of high rise buildings	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
97	Why spend money on something that currently works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
98	Main concern is the proposed Chinese Garden. Wellington must have a Chinese Garden but not at Frank Kitts Park.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
99	I think the waterfront and Frank Kitts Park are fine as they are. Save \$5 million to start fixing the Town Hall.	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
100	No further buildings should be built on the waterfront - including a closed garden.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM