


### Q1 Are you a member/supporter of Waterfront Watch?

Answered: 95 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	78.95% 75
No	21.05% 20
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	We need these dedicated people to ensure our city stays user friendly	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
2	A long time supporter.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM
3	We have to stop the council from ruining the park. It's good as it is	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
4	A supporter and have written many submissions over the years.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
5	not a member, but fully support what you have achieved	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
6	I support all that is being done to save our waterfront but I am not a financial member.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM

## Q2 Have you seen the plans showing the proposed changes to Frank Kitts Park?

Answered: 92 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	46.74%	43
Only general illustrations	31.52%	29
No	21.74%	20
<b>Total</b>		<b>92</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	IWe need play areas and entertainment areas rather than a static Chinese garden.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
2	The flat bit replacing the ampitheatre is mind blowing stupid	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
3	I have read and understand what is planned	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
4	Very ambitious and costly - unnecessary at this time	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
5	The plans were not available at time of attempted viewing.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
6	Went to look at the plans but found them not available	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
7	I went to the council for information, and to see the plans of the proposal to alter the park. The service desk knew nothing about any submissions on the park. One woman eventually found a book. I saw the coloured pictures provided by the firm hoping to do the work.	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
8	Not impressed as the Park performs well as host to lots of activities during the year.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
9	I've only read a description of changes	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
10	Terrible	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
11	Please keep our waterfront free for all to enjoy, not buildings	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
12	Where are they?	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
13	not before today on your website	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
14	More development nonsense	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
15	trying to look on WCC website but wont open	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
16	I agree with the veivs of WW	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
17	Have seen the description on the Waterfront Watch website	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

### Q3 Do you support the enlargement of the children's playground, with new equipment suitable for children of different ages?

Answered: 84 Skipped: 11




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	75.00% 63
No	25.00% 21
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	Our children are precious and need to be cared about	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
2	Where it is as there's no need to shift it	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
3	But not if it encroaches on the lawn	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
4	Provided it doesn't encroach too much on the existing park	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
5	As long as adult parkour and fitness facilities are included, and you can still slack line between trees etc, and there is still room for not cams etc adults need space to play too	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
6	Added facilities useful. More variety in activities provided for children will be good.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
7	Yes, but not to the detriment of with the promenade or the "gathering" space.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
8	Good to have a variety of equipment for different age groups but concerned thatl children's area may be overshadowed by TSB Stadium building	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
9	Not sure	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
10	But only if Council can support the changes with figures supporting the changes	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
11	But not moving the lighthouse/swings from where they are.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
12	But not in the new position proposed	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
13	Only up to about 12 years of age	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
14	No need to enlarge	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
15	within reason!	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
16	Works well as it is	6/7/2016 4:01 PM
17	Keep it only for small ones	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
18	sounds good	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
19	Yes and NO leave light house, yes to toilets and some new equipment	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
20	Provided that the new area will not be of a design that would encourage inappropriate behaviour by older children/teens - skateboarding etc	5/27/2016 1:23 PM

21	OK as it is	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
----	-------------	-------------------

### Q4 Do you support the location of the proposed Chinese garden in Frank Kitts Park?

Answered: 91 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	15.38%	14
No	84.62%	77
<b>Total</b>		<b>91</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	It would close off an area of open waterfront space	6/23/2016 3:57 PM
2	A Chinese garden belongs somewhere like the Botanical Gardens	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
3	Totally inappropriate location. Strongly support a Chinese Garden in Wellington but there are other options for its location eg the Botanic Garden and the new location for the Chinese Embassy.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM
4	The Botanic Gardens is a more appropriate place	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
5	We need to keep all open space by the waterfront open space. It must not be built on or walled off. If the Chinese garden is a good idea, the Botanic gardens or some other site should be the place. Not on the waterfront.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
6	Not a suitable place. Too noisy	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
7	No absolutely. Surely there's a better place	6/21/2016 2:01 PM
8	A good idea, but not here.	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
9	Better bear Te papa or on illiot green	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
10	Don't like the idea of the garden being locked at night. Will the parking building cast a shadow over the garden?	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
11	While the Chinese who have been here a long time are worthy citizens they were not a group connected to the waterfront at all - neither are the newcomers. We need to keep our heritage proudly.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
12	Totally unsuitable position. It needs to be a place of peace. Concerts etc are held in this area which is a noisy, bustling area needed by Wellington.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
13	What happened for plans for Waitangi Park? Locking the garden at night may restrict waterfront walkers	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
14	I don't think Chinese plants would like to be near the sea	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
15	Why is it appropriate to have a Chinese garden on the waterfront?	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
16	Why does there have to be a Chinese Garden ? What about a NZ native garden , that would be far more appropriate , especially to for the cross section of Nationalalities that visit Wellington . They would have come to see Features etc of NZ not other Countries features.	6/12/2016 7:31 PM

17	I used to, but it's hard to know how the Chinese community feel about it; to be honest they have been messed around for years. I remember when they brought a Master designer of Chinese gardens out from China and we started fund raising that night with a banquet at a restaurant in Tory St. That must be nearly 20 years ago now.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
18	It is not relevant to the maritime location on the waterfront and will be walled off anyway so is not about enhancing the enjoyment of the waterfront and seascapes. I do however like the idea of a Chinese garden somewhere in Wellington	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
19	Absolutely not - FKP should be left as is.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
20	This is a TERRIBLE IDEA. This is not the right location ro have such a garden. It should be within the botanic gardens. Removal of pohutakawa trees and planting imported plants instead of using local NZ items makes no sense at all. Why should the chinese community have precedence on our waterfront??? Perhaps we should also have an English, Scottish, Irish, Welsh, Italian, ETC ETC garden as well!!!	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
21	It is inappropriate to have an area of the waterfront locked at night. This is public space not private.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
22	WHY?? The park is much more multi-purpose as it is. A Chinese garden will NOT fit this environment and will definitely detract from current versatility	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
23	Completely inappropriate	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
24	Chinese garden is artificial, too formal and hideous - in what should be an inviting space	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
25	That is, if it is not taking too much precious land from the Park that could be used in better ways.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
26	it seems to break the area in half, could be better placed	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
27	Quite out of place there	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
28	NO NO NO NO NO NO PLEASE DONT DO THIS THIS WILL RUIN THE AREA WE ARE NOT A CHINESE AREA IT IS OUR WATER FRONT FOR ALL NZ PEOPLE	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
29	See no need for it	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
30	Frank Kitts Park should be kept basically as an open area where people can walk around, sit for while, have lunch, etc, be able to hold other events such as openair concerts, the Relay for Life, and so on. The Chinese Garden would greatly restrict these things.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
31	We don't need a walled garden here. Put it on Lambton Quay or in Courtenay PLace or Chinese Embassy	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
32	Place it away from the waterfront to avoid further closed spaces.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

### Q5 Would you like the Chinese garden to be built somewhere else?

Answered: 84 Skipped: 11




Answer Choices	Responses
Happy with Frank Kitts Park	15.48% 13
Somewhere else on the Waterfront	5.95% 5
Botanic Gardens	55.95% 47
Planned new Chinese Embassy	65.48% 55
<b>Total Respondents: 84</b>	

#	Other/Comment:	Date
1	Put it on Ilott Green	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
2	Either or of the above options but it has no place on the waterfront.	6/23/2016 3:57 PM
3	Botanic Gardens would be my preference or the Chinese Embassy although that could create security problems	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
4	See above.	6/22/2016 3:35 PM
5	As above	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
6	Don't wall in Frank Kitts!	6/22/2016 1:54 PM
7	As above not in current limited open space on the waterfront.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
8	A Chinese garden is for peace, contemplation, rest and relaxation. Not alongside a 6 lane motorway type road, and a big multi-type entertainment area like the popular Frank Kitts Park now is.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
9	Build the Chinese garden in a natural, peaceful landscape. There, tranquility and serenity will be easily found. To locate it within the walls of a 'fort', covering from the prevailing salt laden wind will make for a draughty, cold and struggling space.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
10	Build the Chinese garden in a natural, peaceful landscape. There, tranquility and serenity will be easily found. To locate it within the walls of a 'fort', covering from the prevailing salt laden wind will make for a draughty, cold and struggling space.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
11	At the Embassy provided public access will be available	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
12	Some Chinese probably don't want a connection with the Embassy, so some other place may be better but I don't know here.. Certainly not near Frank Kitts Park.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM

13	Possible, but will Embassy permit general public to visit? Will there be a charge?	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
14	Ask the Chinese Association where they would like to be, then decide whether it is possible.	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
15	Only at the Embassy - if we have to have it	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
16	See my previous comment . Rate Payers should'nt have to fund a Chinese Garden ---- A NZ Native Garden yes	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
17	It was planned a good few years ago to build one between Te Papa and Waitangi Park - I don't know why that didn't go ahead. The waterfront is pretty good as it is with room for all the current activities and pedestrians. It is hard to suggest a place for the Chinese garden as we don't how much land is required.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
18	For the same reasons as in 4. it should not be on the waterfront.	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
19	As long as there is still plenty of green open space for other activities in Frank Kitts Park I don't mind having a Chinese Garden as well.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
20	Not on waterfront - new Chinese Embassy or Jack Illot's Green sound ok.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
21	The waterfront is the last place there should be one.	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
22	It is inappropriate to have this garden on the waterfront.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
23	Anywhere except the waterfront	6/7/2016 4:18 PM
24	... or Jack Illot Green	6/7/2016 4:16 PM
25	Anywhere but Frank Kitts Park	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
26	I don't think it is necessary.	6/7/2016 3:52 PM
27	The site by Te Papa would be better, but one further from the harbour better still, eg the site of old Broadcasting House at end of The Terrace	6/7/2016 3:46 PM
28	Not appropriate in such public space as FKP	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
29	I liked the design illustrations of this garden, but perhaps it would be well suited near the Chinese embassy.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
30	Shanghai	6/3/2016 7:44 PM
31	Is it really relevant to Wellington / the waterfront? How about a Māori themed area?	6/2/2016 5:38 PM
32	Number one preference would be associated with new Chinese Embassy , a logical connection.	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
33	BUT DEPENDS WHERE THE EMBASSY IS BUT NOT ANYWHERE NEAR OUR WATERFRONT OTHERWISE NO NO NO NO	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
34	If a Chinese garden is to be built then why not situate it in an area where the early settler Chinese people settled in Wellington i.e. link the reason for the garden as part of Wellington history.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
35	Why not use the Jack Illot Green	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
36	Anywhere suitable but definitely not on Frank Kitts Park.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
37	We need open space	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
38	Much more appropriate site.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

### Q6 Do you support the removal of the amphitheatre and its replacement by a flat lawn?

Answered: 87 Skipped: 8




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	18.39% 16
No	81.61% 71
<b>Total</b>	<b>87</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	Need an area that is sheltered from the prevailing northerly	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
2	The amphitheatre is so comfortable even if picnicking and a lovely spot for entertainment	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
3	As above; totally ridiculous.	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
4	Leave well alone. Why waste ratepayers money?	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
5	It is a most useful place with a natural amphitheatre	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
6	Wind blast	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
7	I love the amphitheatre. Its curved tiers create a great unique atmosphere and space. There is plenty of flat open space at Waitangi Park for larger civic events.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
8	I love the amphitheatre. Its curved tiers create a great unique atmosphere and space. There is plenty of flat open space at Waitangi Park for larger civic events.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
9	Amphitheatre good for events	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
10	Amphitheatre is used a lot for concerts and meetings. It's flexible and sheltered. More appealing than a large flat lawn.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
11	Leave it alone. It works most of the time, providing shelter from many winds.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
12	This is a sheltered area. It needs to be retained for the many events that are held here.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
13	Amphitheatre provides seating for concerts, meetings, fun runs for charity. Gives shelter from the wind. Large lawn would be a bit boring. What seating??	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
14	Why is it necessary to spend this extra money?	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
15	A flat lawn will be exposed and boring	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
16	I think the park as it is at present fills a purpose and is pleasant and well used.	6/13/2016 11:08 AM
17	Amphitheatre offers more ambience and atmosphere than a Flat area .	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
18	Because it will spoil the park for the current activities that are held there.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
19	The amphitheatre is ideal for events and picnics and protects visitors from the prevailing wind	6/10/2016 4:43 PM

20	Will make this a much more useable and lovely space - I hardly ever see anyone on a nice day hangin in the current amphitheatre because you can't see the wonderful sea views from in there.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
21	It has a public use as amphitheater	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
22	Not at all - currently it's multipurpose & provides wind shelter.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
23	Absolutely not.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM
24	The ampitheatre provides shelter and seating. As a flat lawn it won't be as usable as a lunch time seating area after a rainy morning.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
25	The upper levels of the amphitheatre and Battlements provided vantage ;points for events like the Dragon Boat races and of course the teams warming up in the amphitheatres and top green, similarly relay for life and many other events.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
26	It's a useful sitting/ lunch area	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
27	Need wind-blocks on this site. Multilevel is more functional for that and other reasons.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
28	It serves a useful purpose	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
29	This would be a good idea only if it is found that the amphitheatre is not utilised enough as it stands at present?	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
30	the lawn looks better than the current concrete wall	6/3/2016 11:28 AM
31	Shelter from amphitheatre would be lost,crazy idea	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
32	like it the way it is being flat would change it and the atmophere you get from it	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
33	The amphitheatre area provides a haven from our Wellington winds and is an ideal area for a wide range of activities.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
34	This is so useful as it is. Often used. Shelterd.	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
35	Amphitheatre works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
36	Keep it for special openair events.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
37	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
38	Yes and no ! I like the idea of a large events area but also like the amphitheatre (although have seldom seen it used as an amphitheatre). Perhaps Aotea Quay can be encroached upon ?!	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

### Q7 Do you support opening the park to harbour views, even if shelter from the wind is lost?

Answered: 89 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	30.34% 27
No	69.66% 62
<b>Total</b>	<b>89</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	The current views are fine. The sheltered area is still part of the waterfront ambience. People only have to stand up or sit at the top to enjoy the views.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
2	Wellington wind can be fierce, the area would get more use if sheltered.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
3	Cannot understand why change something good for something awful	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
4	The harbour is always worth looking at. the wind is a natural event.	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
5	Shelter is very important and there are plenty of views of the harbour from the walkway	6/21/2016 2:09 PM
6	If you want views you can step up and enjoy them	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
7	People walk along the promenade and take in the harbour views. Open up the park to the wind off the harbour, and the large flat lawn will be a waste of space.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
8	People walk along the promenade and take in the harbour views. Open up the park to the wind off the harbour, and the large flat lawn will be a waste of space.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
9	Unnecessary	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
10	It is important to keep this park sheltered from the prevailing northerly.	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
11	Current different levels and shady trees create interest and shelter from the wind	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
12	The park provides a small area on the waterfront that is a welcome respite from walking along the promenade with extensive harbour views	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
13	It is easy for people to see the harbour by moving only a short distance	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
14	but only if the amphitheatre remains	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
15	No one barely uses the amphitheatre as it currently is on a nice and breezy day - because you lose the wonderful views. I think opening it up will make it a much more used space. I can imagine markets here, outdoor cinema on summer nights, concerts. Think it would be great!	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
16	Wind shelter is needed - this is Wellington	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
17	No-The wind is one of the biggest problems on the waterfront	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
18	It is far too exposed if opened up. the area is used so much in the summer months the way it is.	6/9/2016 8:57 PM

19	The amphitheatre is well used by lunch time visits. keep fit classes and if you want a view of the harbour the play area provides that.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
20	Prioritise wind shelter please. There is already plenty of visual access to the water.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
21	We need a sheltered area in Wellington	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
22	You can walk along the promenade to enjoy them!	6/7/2016 4:01 PM
23	Yes - winds are part of Wellington	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
24	Perhaps more trees could be planted to help provide shelter against the winds.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
25	What illogical nonsense	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
26	NO this idea is stupid the person who thought of this has never used the park and doesn't realise that if you took the wall away you wouldn't see people out there on windy days because there is no shelter. dumb idea because once it's gone that's it	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
27	For harbour views there are ample opportunities to enjoy by strolling the entire waterfront.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
28	crazy idea	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
29	windbreak works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
30	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
31	This could create greater open space but only if it would leave more not less space.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

### Q8 If the promenade wall is to be removed, do you support the bronze plaques being relocated to plinths in the coastal garden facing the inner path?

Answered: 72 Skipped: 23




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	59.72%	43
No	40.28%	29
<b>Total</b>		<b>72</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	no idea where inner path is	6/28/2016 12:56 PM
2	I don't support the promande wall being removed.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
3	why not?	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
4	No comment	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
5	No preference	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
6	Only If the wall has to be removed	6/21/2016 2:04 PM
7	Leave the wall	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
8	The promenade wall should not be removed. The plaques should be left where they are. They should be fully refurbished - they have not been regularly maintained by the Council.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
9	They are perfect where they are	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
10	They are perfect where they are	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
11	Don't approve of wall being removed adn therefore don't support bronze plaques being relocated.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
12	Leave the promenade wall alone. It reminds us of wharves adn ships and our history as a port town. Of course we must keep the plaques.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
13	Reluctantly - prefer wall as it is to stay	6/13/2016 12:22 PM
14	I don't approve of the demolition of the promenade wall - leave the plaques where they are.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
15	As long as they are in an equally promenant place	6/13/2016 11:24 AM
16	I cannot picture what this means	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
17	If the wall is not removed then this is not an issue.	6/13/2016 11:05 AM
18	If the wall is not removed then this is not an issue.	6/13/2016 11:04 AM
19	The promenade wall should stay because it helps separate the traffic noise etc from the promenade.	6/12/2016 4:30 PM

20	I'm not sure about some overengineered plinths. But the plaques should stay somewhere prominent on the waterfront as they greatly contribute to our city's maritime history, as does the Wahine flagpole	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
21	not sure where else would be better	6/10/2016 9:47 AM
22	They should be left where they are	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
23	No - and don't take the wall down either.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
24	Given this redevelopment is a given, why can't the plaques be hung on the exterior walls of the Chinese garden. Ready made walls with no need for further expense and still very visible to all at all times of the day and night.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
25	Do not want to lose the Promenade wall with the Plinths as another popular place to sit when the wind is not off the harbour...	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
26	Leave them where they are - on the wall - must suitable place	6/7/2016 4:32 PM
27	Leave the plaques where they are	6/7/2016 4:18 PM
28	I am not sure exactly what this entails?	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
29	but please dont take the wall down	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
30	However I trust that the promenade wall will not be removed.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
31	Not sure	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
32	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
33	No opinion	5/24/2016 12:33 PM

### Q9 Do you support the installation of new steps connecting the refurbished upper lawn to the lagoon?

Answered: 75 Skipped: 20



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	58.67%	44
No	41.33%	31
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>

#	Comment:	Date
1	I like the current area	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
2	There is always room for improvement.	6/22/2016 6:49 PM
3	No to the refurbished lawn	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
4	Depends on retention of the businesses under the lawn	6/22/2016 1:22 PM
5	Not sure about his. Can this be achieved within existing layout?	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
6	What for	6/19/2016 12:34 PM
7	Not steps - a reasonably graded pathway linking a series of terraces to act as grandstand seating t look down onto the lagoono area. The pathways instead of steps to enable wheelchair, pram and elderly disability to access the area.	6/19/2016 12:28 PM
8	Need a ramp too	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
9	Not necessary	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
10	Any changes are likely to be in the 'Athfield' style of industrial ugliness. This would not match the existing style and add to the mishmash already prevalent.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
11	Not sure what the advantage these would have. Lagoon is reached quite easily now.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
12	What's wrong with what's there now	6/13/2016 11:20 AM
13	The current steps seem to be adequate to me. What about the affect on the small businesses that have been set up in that area/	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
14	the current 2 sets of steps seem perfectly adequate and also provide pram/wheelchair access which is important	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
15	I think access around the whole park should take into consideration access for disabled or less-abled people. So ramps where possible would be great too.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
16	Not sure-but wouldn't like any more open space to be taken away.	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
17	Prefer a path - more accessible by wheel chairs and pushchairs.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
18	Haven't seen architectural drawing of this	6/7/2016 3:46 PM

19	The steps that are currently in place are appropriate access.	5/27/2016 1:23 PM
20	Not sure	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
21	Uncertain	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
22	Current steps perfectly adequate	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
23	no opinion	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
24	Am happy with the path+steps at present	5/24/2016 12:33 PM
25	This would take away more open space.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM

## Q10 Thank you. Any general comments?

Answered: 52 Skipped: 43

#	Responses	Date
1	As it is, Frank Kitts is ugly and unpleasant. The walls along the promenade disconnect the park from the harbour. As a result, it feels more related to Jervois Quay than the waterfront. The Chinese Garden looks like it would be create a much more pleasant environment.	6/28/2016 10:08 PM
2	Keep the amphitheater in Frank Kitts Park, it is a good place for Wellington's civic events our "speakers' corner"	6/28/2016 12:56 PM
3	There is no need to do such a large makeover, maybe a refresh on equipment lighting, speakers for music.	6/28/2016 12:41 PM
4	The current waterfront area is fine. It works well and is well used as is. Leave it alone.	6/23/2016 8:12 PM
5	Save the money for something that needs doing. Don't waste it on something that isn't broke!	6/22/2016 2:50 PM
6	How often do we have to keep re-telling the Council not to build on the waterfront. It seems that over 80 per cent of people over the years do not want any more building. What do they not understand about no being no?	6/22/2016 1:08 PM
7	I am grateful for the work of the WW committee. I support all efforts to keep our harbour views open - they are too spectacular to lose. I am too ill-informed to comment on the other matters. I rely on your efforts about the placement of a Chinese garden - in consultation with their Embassy - to be fittingly realised.	6/21/2016 2:14 PM
8	I think that any changes would be an appalling waste of money	6/21/2016 2:04 PM
9	WW needs to keep up the good work. It appears that we need to be ever vigilant, although the motives are not as bad as with many past projects - more misguided?	6/19/2016 12:39 PM
10	Yes, Frank Kitts Park does look tired after years of neglect. The original design was creative and still works. Yes, tidy it up. Spend some money, but ditching it for total redesign is an unnecessary vanity. I personally find the Wraight redesign bland and disjointed. The straight lines of trees and paths force a regimental geometry and discipline which more like a roadway than a place I would go for a relaxing stroll.	6/18/2016 12:26 AM
11	Yes, Frank Kitts Park does look tired after years of neglect. The original design was creative and still works. Yes, tidy it up, and invest some money in minor improvements and repairs. The Wraight Landscape redesign is bland and ordinary. The three separate spaces(playground, lawn and walled garden) that fail to relate to each other or the surrounding environment.	6/17/2016 11:50 PM
12	Given its proximity to the cbd this park should facilities recreation and fitness activities for adults and children a Chinese garden could go somewhere else like illiot green	6/17/2016 8:22 PM
13	Frank Kitts park is fine as it is. Not necessary to spend \$5 million on 're-modelling' it.	6/13/2016 12:44 PM
14	Leave things alone! Spend the money on more needed causes as Frank Kitts would have wanted.	6/13/2016 12:37 PM
15	This reconstruction plan seems to be an extremely expensive exercise. Demolishing the promenade wall removing the plaques and relocating them will cost a huge amount of money. Leave things as they are! There are many worthy causes that would benefit from such a large amount of money.	6/13/2016 12:07 PM
16	Generally I am not in favour of changes just to keep staff employed however it is disguised.	6/13/2016 11:46 AM
17	The Wellington Water- Front must be retained for Residents of Wellington . They must be considered first .	6/12/2016 7:31 PM
18	Am sorry if I seem to be negative and unwilling to accept change, but I think it all works pretty well now and where is the money going to come from anyway?	6/12/2016 4:30 PM
19	I look forward to hearing others' views. I'd prefer the pohutukawa to stay where they are as they provide shade in various places. I'd like to know what maritime plants are proposed for along the promenade - hopefully not yet more waving tussock	6/10/2016 4:43 PM
20	I support for this plan. Think it will make it far more accessible and opening it up to the waterfront will make the amphitheatre a far more desirable space. There should be plenty of nice seating for people to relax with a good book - to replace seating against current concrete walls.	6/10/2016 11:45 AM
21	No need to 'develop' an area which works well	6/10/2016 9:47 AM
22	Council need to stop wasting money and keep waterfront open to the public and not impinged on.	6/10/2016 12:58 AM
23	The waterfront works well as it is except that Council keeps trying to build on it! Thank you for your great work WW. Please keep it up!	6/9/2016 11:07 PM
24	What else can be done to get rid of the chinese garden?	6/9/2016 10:37 PM
25	Keep up the good work	6/9/2016 8:57 PM

26	I can't help thinking that the council is hell bent on pushing this through. Expanding the playarea would be a great gain. but leave the rest alone.	6/9/2016 10:26 AM
27	On page 39 of the 2014-2043 Wellington Urban Growth Draft Plan COMPLETE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WATERFRONT - WE CONSIDER FRANKS KITTS PARK SHOULD BE THE PREMIER RECREATION AREA FOPR THE CITY PARTICULARLY FOR CHILDREN.	6/7/2016 8:39 PM
28	Chinese Garden is a misconceived idea on this site. I would hate to see the character and versatility of FKP lost for that.	6/7/2016 4:23 PM
29	Please don't waste any more money. by the City Council	6/7/2016 4:19 PM
30	Keep up the good work !!	6/7/2016 4:15 PM
31	The park is facing the right way now!! Sheltered from the wind. Don't let the Council make the same mistake by forcing this idea of theirs through. Look at Island Bay and the balls-up there. And save on the unnecessary cost to ratepayers.	6/7/2016 4:11 PM
32	I don't want to see any changes to a classic, well-used park, Frank Kitts, with its Ngaio trees and lawns. It doesn't need filling up with a foreign garden. The Chinese garden in Dunedin is hardly ever visited.	6/7/2016 4:08 PM
33	The amphitheatre is unique to Wellington and serves a useful purpose. I do not find Chinese gardens to my taste, preferring the informal and welcoming area the existing amphitheatre provides at the edge of our harbour	6/7/2016 4:05 PM
34	Just, Thank you	6/7/2016 3:59 PM
35	I don't know the waterfront well enough to comment on many of the questions, so I give my proxy vote to Waterfront Watch	6/7/2016 3:57 PM
36	I love our harbour for all to enjoy and walk around	6/7/2016 3:55 PM
37	Now that we are living in Waikanae after 60-70 years in Wellington we appreciate all the more the work you do to protect the waterfront from high rise buildings and hotels.	6/7/2016 3:51 PM
38	Please leave Frank Kitts Park as it is. Spend the \$5 million on upgrading the Town Hall and don't sell the Jack Illott park.	6/7/2016 3:48 PM
39	We become frustrated when plans/ideas such as above are discussed and given prominence when some problems wiht basic infrastructure near our place are not repaired promptly.	6/7/2016 3:46 PM
40	I favour open space, for children to run about, adults to saunter and picnc, and deplore a formal Chinese garden - to be looked at - not touched or enjoyed. "Space and light and order. These are the things that men need just as much as they need bread or a place to sleep" Le Corbusier.	6/7/2016 3:39 PM
41	Please note that I have only seen the illustrations of the design of the proposed Chinese garden at Francis Kitts park and that was some time ago.I thought it looked quite attractive and would enhance this rather bare area? Please note that I am answering a number of these questions on just imagination of the proposals that you are querying.	6/5/2016 8:15 PM
42	The council needs to pay back debt and live within their means.	6/5/2016 9:56 AM
43	Looks a bit tired now and cuts off the harbour from the park with awful concrete battlements	6/4/2016 1:01 PM
44	Concerned about loss of shelter, as the park has many nooks to shelter in at the moment. Can we drop a few lanes of traffic along the quay?!	6/2/2016 5:38 PM
45	Thank goodness for Waterfront Watch Inc	5/29/2016 9:34 PM
46	I understand that Frank kitts is a alittle tired but its a great place the way it is people just need toleave things along, just because they want to put their stamp on something whether its a good or bad idea once you change it thats it. I have family coming from overseas all the time and they love this area they will ruin it if they do their stupid changes	5/28/2016 1:03 PM
47	Where are pohutukawas going to be replanted?	5/26/2016 6:58 PM
48	As a member of the committee many years ago I applaude the contuing work of the WW committee to keep the waterfront clear of high rise buildings	5/25/2016 4:32 PM
49	Why spend money on something that currently works	5/25/2016 11:20 AM
50	Main concern is the proposed Chinese Garden. Wellington must have a Chinese Garden but not at Frank Kitts Park.	5/24/2016 5:55 PM
51	I think the waterfront and Frank Kitts Park are fine as they are. Save \$5 million to start fixing the Town Hall.	5/24/2016 1:41 PM
52	No further buildings should be built on the waterfront - including a closed garden.	5/18/2016 3:17 PM